

Introduction to Formal Methods

Chapter 10. Fairness Properties

Lecturer: JUNBEOM YOO
jbyoo@konkuk.ac.kr

10. Fairness Properties

- Fairness Property
 - Under certain conditions, an event will occur (or will fail to occur) infinitely often
 - Examples:
 - (F1) " The gate will be raised infinitely often"
 - (F2) " If access to a critical section is infinitely often requested, then access will be granted infinitely often "
 - repeated liveness or repeated reachability
- Organization of Chapter 10
 - Fairness in Temporal Logic
 - Fairness and Nondeterminism
 - Fairness Properties and Fairness Hypothesis
 - Strong Fairness and Weak Fairness
 - Fairness in the Model or in the Property?

10.1 Fairness in Temporal Logic

- $GF P$
 - “ We meet a state in which P holds infinitely often ”
 - There is no last state in which P holds.

 - Fairness properties cannot be expressed in pure CTL
 - (F1) “ The gate will be raised infinitely often ”
→ $A (GF \text{ gate_raised })$
 - (F2) “ If access to a critical section is infinitely often requested, then access will be granted infinitely often ”
→ $A (GF \text{ crit_req } \Rightarrow FG \text{ crit_in })$

 - FCTL or ECTL+
 - CTL + fairness
 - $O(|A| \times |\phi|^2)$
 - Many tools (like SMV) considers the fairness hypotheses as part of model than choosing FCTL

10.2 Fairness and Nondeterminism

- In practice,
 - Fairness properties are used to describe the form of some nondeterministic sequences
 - " When a nondeterministic choice occurs at some point, it is often assumed to be fair "
 - For example,
 - A die with six faces
 - Its behavior is fair, if it fulfills the property: $A \wedge GF 1 \wedge GF 2 \wedge GF 3 \wedge GF 4 \wedge GF 5 \wedge GF 6$
 - Fairness properties can be viewed as an abstraction of probabilistic properties.

10.3 Fairness Properties and Fairness Hypotheses

- Fairness properties are very often used as hypotheses.
- An example:
 - Classical alternating bit protocol
 - A : a transmitter
 - B : a receiver
 - AB : a line for messages
 - BA : a line for message acknowledgements
 - Messages can be lost → non-deterministic behavior of AB and BA
 - Liveness property : " Any emitted message is eventually received "
 - $G (\text{emitted} \Rightarrow F \text{ received})$
 - Fail !!!
 - The model allows to systematically lose all messages.
 - Our original intension : "unreliable" line, not the whole lose → Fairness hypothesis !!!
 - $A (\text{GF } \neg \text{loss} \Rightarrow G (\text{emitted} \Rightarrow F \text{ received}))$
fairness hypothesis liveness property
 - Repeated liveness property : " If infinitely many messages are emitted, then infinitely many messages will be transmitted "
 - $A (\text{GF } \neg \text{loss} \Rightarrow (\text{GF emitted} \Rightarrow \text{GF received}))$
fairness hypothesis repeated liveness hypothesis

10.4 Strong Fairness and Weak Fairness

- Fairness property
 - “ If P is continually requested, then P will be granted (infinitely often) ”
- Weak fairness
 - Assume that P is requested without interruption
 - $(FG \textit{request_}P) \Rightarrow F P$
 - $(FG \textit{request_}P) \Rightarrow GF P$
- Strong fairness
 - Assume that P is requested in an infinitely repeated manner, possibly with interruptions
 - $(GF \textit{request_}P) \Rightarrow F P$
 - $(GF \textit{request_}P) \Rightarrow GF P$
- No difference when using them for model checking of finite systems

10.5 Fairness in the Model or in the Property?

- The best way is
 - Model = automaton + fairness hypotheses
 - Since the second can change independently from the first
 - like SMV model checker